Commodity Options as Price Insurance for Cattlemen

Adapted from “Managing for Today’s Cattle Market and Beyond”

Introduction

Cattle producers have a number of methods to market cattle, including weekly auctions, private treaty, consignment, video auctions, forward contracting, marketing alliances, and on a carcass-basis. Each marketing method carries risks, and one of the most prevalent risks for cattle producers is price risk that is present throughout all stages of production and marketing. Price risk is often thought of as declining cattle prices for sellers, increasing cattle prices for buyers or increasing feed prices for feed users. Most price risks faced by cattle producers can be managed, and the management of these price risks becomes more important as price volatility increases. There are a number of tools available to help cattle buyers, cattle sellers, and feed users manage price risk.

One tool available to producers to manage price risk in the cattle business is the commodity options market. The commodity options market can be
thought of similar to insurance. Many cattlemen insure buildings against fire, equipment against accidents, and lives against death or injury. Purchasing insurance trades the possibility of a large but uncertain loss for a small but certain cost: the insurance premium. Similarly, a commodity option can be purchased for an agreed-upon premium price to protect against adverse price movements in commodity markets (i.e., feeder cattle, fed cattle, feed grains). Thus, producers may want to “insure” against unfavorable price movements using commodity options. One benefit commodity options offer producers is the opportunity to take advantage of favorable price movements in the market, while protecting against unfavorable price movements.¹

Commodity options can be used by anyone; however, they are most efficient and effective for “large” producers because one feeder cattle option represents 50,000 pounds, while one live cattle option represents 40,000 pounds. Similarly, most grain options represent 5,000 bushels. The size of these contracts does not necessarily exclude small producers, but it does make it more challenging for small producers to effectively manage price risk with commodity options markets.

What is the Commodity Options Market?

The commodity options market is a market in which producers may purchase the opportunity to sell or buy a commodity futures contract at a specified price. Purchasers in options markets have the “opportunity” or “right” but not the “obligation” to exercise their agreement. Therefore, the markets are appropriately named “options markets” since they deal in an option, not an obligation.

Just as a cattle producer may purchase the right from an insurance firm to collect on a policy if a building burns, the producer can purchase the right to sell commodities at a specific price in case prices drop below the specified price. A separate options market exists to allow the purchase of commodities at a specified price, in case prices increase.

For instance, if a cattle producer wanted to buy the right to sell feeder cattle for $175/cwt, the feeder cattle options market might provide the opportunity. By paying the market-determined premium, the cattle producer could then collect on the option if prices fell below $175/cwt when the cattle were actually sold. If prices are higher than $175/cwt, the cattle are sold for the higher price, and the cost of the premium is absorbed.

While this is a simplified example of the way producers might operate in the options market, the concept is realistic. Just like other types of insurance, by paying a premium, insurance can be purchased against price declines or increases. Collecting on the insurance would be an option if the price moves in an unfavorable direction.

There are two types of commodity options: a put option and a call option. The put option gives the holder (commodity seller) the right, but not the obligation, to sell the underlying commodity contract to the option writer at a specified price on or before the commodity expiration date. The call option gives the holder (commodity purchaser) the right, but not the obligation, to buy the underlying commodity contract from the option writer (seller) at a specified price on or before the option expiration date. The put option and the call option are two different and distinct contracts. A call option is not the opposite of a put option.

Buyers and Sellers
In the options market, transactions require both buyers and sellers. The buyer of an option is referred to as an option holder, while the seller of an option is often referred to as an option writer. The option buyer and seller may be a speculator or someone who desires partial price protection. The choice to buy (hold) or sell (write) an option depends primarily upon one’s objectives. Buyers and sellers of cattle options “meet” on the Chicago Mercantile Exchange. Rather than physically meeting, transactions are carried out through brokerage firms that act as the buyer’s and seller’s representative at the exchange. For this service, the brokerage firm charges a commission. The exchange has no part in the transaction other than to insure its financial integrity. In effect, the exchange offers a place for buyers and sellers to trade under organized rules.

Strike Price
The “specified price” in the option is referred to as the exercise price or strike price. This is the price at which the underlying commodity contract can be bought or sold and is fixed for any given option. There could be several options with different strike prices traded during any period of time. If the price of the underlying commodity changes over time, then additional strike prices may be listed for trade.

Underlying Commodity
The “underlying commodity” for the commodity option is not the commodity itself but rather a futures contract for that commodity. For example, an October feeder cattle option is an option to obtain an October feeder cattle futures contract. In this sense, options are the right to buy or sell a futures contract and not the physical commodity.
Because options have futures contracts as their underlying commodity, each option contract represents the same quantity as the underlying futures contract. That is, most grain options represent 5,000 bushels, while the live cattle option represents 40,000 pounds of fed cattle. The feeder cattle option represents 50,000 pounds of feeder cattle. Options are traded for each of the futures contract months in each of these commodities. A table showing the option contract specifications for feeder cattle and live cattle is shown below (Table 1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Feeder Cattle</th>
<th>Live Cattle</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Underlying Contract Size</td>
<td>50,000 pounds</td>
<td>40,000 pounds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delivery</td>
<td>Cash settled</td>
<td>Physically delivered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Months traded</td>
<td>Jan, Mar, Apr, May, Aug, Sep, Oct and Nov</td>
<td>Feb, Apr, Jun, Aug, Oct, Dec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Last day of trading¹</td>
<td>Last Thursday of the contract month with exceptions for November and other months when a holiday falls on the last Thursday or any of the four weekdays prior to that Thursday, 12:00 p.m.</td>
<td>First Friday of the contract month, 1:00 p.m.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. Source CME website — accessed May 27, 2014
   http://www.cmegroup.com/trading/agricultural/livestock/feeder-cattle_contractSpecs_options.html

A more extensive explanation of futures contracts is offered in the related UT Extension publication W 320A, “Using Futures Markets to Manage Price Risk in Feeder Cattle Operations.”
Expiration
Futures contracts have a definite maturity date during the delivery month. Likewise, options have a date at which they mature and expire. The specific date of expiration for the feeder cattle option contract is the same as its underlying futures contract: the last Thursday of each month, with the exception of November and any month when a holiday falls on the last Thursday or any of the four weekdays prior to that Thursday.

Because fed cattle futures contracts can be settled by physically delivering the cattle, the fed cattle option contract expires the first Friday of the futures contract month, prior to the futures contract expiration around the 20th of the month. For example, a $135 per hundredweight (cwt) October fed cattle put option is an opportunity to sell one October live cattle futures contract at $135/cwt. The holder can execute this option on any business day until the first Friday in October.

Option Premiums
The option writer is willing to incur an obligation in return for some compensation, which is called the option premium. Using the insurance analogy, a premium is paid on an insurance policy to gain the coverage it provides, just as the option premium is paid to gain the rights granted in the option. The option premium is determined either by:

1. public outcry and acceptance in an exchange trading pit or
2. electronically through a “virtual” trading pit.

Like all commodity prices, option premiums can be expected to change not only daily but often by the minute.

While the interaction of supply and demand for options will ultimately determine the option premium, two major factors will interact to affect the level of premiums.

Option premium: the price/cost of an option. It is composed of intrinsic value and time value.

Premium = Intrinsic Value + Time Value

Intrinsic/exercise value: the amount of money that could be realized by exercising the option.

Time value: is associated with the length of time until the option expires. A longer expiration date usually means an option has more time value.

The first factor is the difference between the strike price of the option and the futures price of the underlying commodity. This differential in prices may give the option intrinsic or exercise value. For example, consider an October feeder cattle put option with a strike price of $175/cwt and the underlying October feeder cattle futures with a current price of $172/cwt. The option could be sold for at least $3/cwt, since anyone would be willing to purchase the right to sell at $175/cwt when the market is currently $172/cwt. This $3 is said to be the intrinsic value. As long as the market price on the option’s underlying futures contract is below the strike price on a put option, the option has intrinsic value. Alternatively, a call option has intrinsic value when the futures market price is above the strike price.

Any option having intrinsic value is said to be “in-the-money.” An “in-the-money” option has value to others because the futures market price is below the put or above the call strike price. An option is said to be “out-of-the-money” and has no intrinsic value if the current futures market price is above the put or below the call strike price. When the futures market price
of the commodity and the strike price are equal, the option is said to be “at-the-money” and has no intrinsic value.

A second factor influencing the option premium is time value or the length of time to expiration of the option. Assuming all else is constant, option premiums usually decline in value as the time to expiration decreases. For example, in August the time value on a $175/cwt September feeder cattle option will be less than the time value on a $175/cwt November option. The option with a longer time to expiration has a greater probability of moving “in-the-money” than the option with less time; therefore, it is worth more on that factor alone. The longer the time period, the greater the chance events will occur that could cause substantial movement in futures prices and change the value of the option. As a result, the option writer requires a greater premium to assume the risk of writing a longer term option.

“Out-of-the-money” options have a value that reflects time value. “In-the-money” options possess both time value and intrinsic value. The total cost of a premium minus the intrinsic value yields the time value of an option (Premium - Intrinsic Value = Time Value).

Offsetting an Option
The method by which most holders of “in-the-money” options realize accrued profit is by resale of the option. This is referred to as offsetting an option position and completing a round-turn (the buy and sell or the sell and buy of an option). Options can be offset anytime between the purchase and expiration date. Most option buyers offset their position rather than exercise the option to avoid losing any remaining time premium and (or) assuming a futures market position and its resultant decisions, margin deposits and commissions. In most situations, the option can be resold to another trader at a premium at least equivalent to the intrinsic value that results from an “in-the-money” price relationship.

Another method by which the holder of an option could realize accrued profits is by exercising the option. Options are only exercised at the direction of the owner or if there is intrinsic value at expiration. The opportunity to exercise the option means the option buyer can always get the intrinsic value of the option premium, even if there is little or no trading in the option being held. It also provides for a means of continuing price protection after the option expires.

If the decision is made to exercise, the following procedures are followed. For a put, the holder is assigned a short (sell) position in the futures market equal to the strike price. At the same time, the option writer is obligated to take a long (buy) position at the same price. Both positions are then adjusted to reflect the current settlement price. It is rational to exercise a put option only when the futures market price is below the strike price, so the holder’s futures position will show a profit. The futures position of the writer will show an equivalent loss. At this point, the option contract has been fulfilled and both parties are free to trade their futures contracts.
Evaluating and Using Options Markets

Now that the mechanics of options trading have been explored, it is time to consider some critical questions. (1) What do varying strike prices mean in terms of price insurance, and how does a producer select a strike price? (2) How does a producer obtain this insurance?

There are three steps to consider in evaluating option prices:

1. **Select the appropriate option contract month.** To do this, select the option with underlying futures that will expire closest to, but not before, the time the physical commodity will be sold or purchased. For example, if a group of feeder calves were to be sold in early October, the October option would be appropriate.

2. **Select the appropriate type of option.** To insure products for sale at a later time against price declines, the producer would be interested in buying a put (the right to sell). If the producer’s motive is to insure future commodity purchases against cost increases (for instance, corn needed to feed cattle), then purchasing a call would be an appropriate strategy.

   To continue the example: If the cattle producer wishes to insure the feeder cattle he/she will be selling in early October, then he/she will be interested in purchasing an October put option.

3. **Calculate the minimum cash selling price being offered by the put option selected.** For a call option, the maximum purchase price would need to be calculated. These calculations can be accomplished in five steps.
   a. **Select a strike price within the option month.** For instance, a $175/cwt October feeder cattle put.
   b. Subtract the premium from the strikeprice for a put, or add the premium for a call. For example, using a $175 October put cost $2.75/cwt, the result is $175 - $2.75 = $172.25/cwt.
   c. Subtract (for a put) or add (for a call) the “opportunity cost” of paying the premium for the period it will be outstanding. For example, if the option premium of $2.75/cwt is paid in June and the option is expected to be liquidated by an offsetting resale in early October, an interest cost for the three-month period needs to be added. If borrowed funds are used and the interest rate is 9 percent, then the interest (opportunity) cost would be 0.75 percent per month or 2.25 percent for three months (9% ÷ 12 months = 0.75% X 3 months = 2.25%). The interest cost associated with a $2.75/cwt put option premium would be $0.06/cwt. This leaves a net price of $172.25 - $0.06 = $172.19/cwt.
   d. Subtract (for a put) or add (for a call) the commission fee for both buying and offsetting the option. Assume the brokerage firm charges $75 per round-turn for handling each option contract. The commission fee would be $0.15/cwt ($75 for 50,000 lbs., $75/500 cwt). The net price is now $172.19 - $0.15 = $172.04/cwt.
   e. One final adjustment must be made to these prices. The option strike price must be localized to reflect the difference between prices in the local markets where the cattle will be sold or grains purchased, and the futures market price. This difference is called basis (Basis = Local Cash Price – Futures Price). The basis differs for cattle at different weights, sex, location, and time of year across the country. See UT Extension publication W 320C, “Understanding and Using Cattle Basis in Managing Price Risk,” for some of the factors that affect cattle basis. Many
state extension offices have historical basis estimates for cattle and inputs that may be helpful in determining the appropriate basis.2

**Basis estimation is a critical component in estimating the expected net purchase or sale price.** Interested readers should also consult UT Extension publication W 320C, "Understanding and Using Cattle Basis in Managing Price Risk," to help them better understand the various factors that can affect basis.

By adjusting the option price for basis, a minimum selling price can be obtained for a put or a maximum purchase price obtained for a call. For example, if in early October, 600 lb. feeder steers normally bring $10/cwt less than the feeder cattle futures market, then the likely minimum local cash price becomes $172.04- $10 = $162.04/cwt. In the end, the only thing that will change this price is the fluctuation in the basis.

More or less price insurance can be purchased by buying options with different strike prices. To determine the minimum selling price suggested by each strike price, repeat steps one through five for the various strike prices and their associated premiums.

---

2 A useful publication for Tennessee cattle producers is “Basis Estimates for Feeder Cattle and Fed Cattle” AE14-03.

---

**Options Arithmetic: Two Examples**

Once the relevant option prices have been evaluated, the next question is: How would the producer go about obtaining a certain level of price insurance? This section provides two examples to illustrate the process: 1) a “Put Option Example” to establish a price floor (an expected minimum selling price), and 2) a “Call Option Example” to establish a price ceiling (an expected maximum purchase price).

**Put Option Example**

In the following Put Option example (Figure 1), a cattle producer will be selling a load of feeder cattle in early October. The producer checks the options quotes in June and finds an October feeder cattle put option at $175/cwt can be purchased for a premium of $2.75/cwt. To localize the strike price, the producer subtracts $10/cwt basis since the price of 600 lb. steer calves in his/her local market in October is generally $10/cwt lower than the October futures price. Commission ($75 per contract) and interest on premium cost will be about $0.25/cwt, so the $175 put would provide an expected minimum selling price of $162/cwt ($175 - $10 - $2.75 - $0.25 = $162). By comparing this with other pricing alternatives and production costs, the producer decides purchasing this put would be an appropriate strategy for the 83 steers to be sold in October. The producer advises his/her broker to purchase one $175 October feeder cattle put at $2.75. The producer then forwards a check for $1,450 (500 cwt X $2.75/cwt plus $75 brokerage fee) to the broker.

As October approaches, one of three things will happen: prices will stay relatively unchanged; rise above the option strike price, thus making the option worthless; or fall below the strike price, thus making the
producer’s option valuable. If the current futures price is above the strike price, the option is said to be “out-of-the-money.” If futures are below the strike price, it is “in-the-money.”

In Outcome A, let’s assume the futures market price in early October is $185/cwt — well above the put option strike price of $175/cwt. This makes the producer’s option “out-of-the-money.” Since no one is willing to pay for an option to sell at $175/cwt when they could currently sell for $185/cwt, the option expires as worthless. In this case, the cattle producer sells the load of feeder cattle and does not use the option. The net price would be the cash price received, less the net premium cost originally paid. Assuming the basis did not change (-$10/cwt) and the cattle brought $175/cwt, the actual net received would be $172/cwt ($185 - $10 basis - $2.75 premium - $0.25 commission & interest).

In this case, the insurance policy was not needed; had this been known in advance, the cattle producer could have saved the premium. However, just as fire or other disasters cannot be predicted, price movements cannot be accurately predicted. For this reason, the cattle producer was willing to substitute the known loss (premium) for the possibility of a larger unknown loss.

What happens if the cattle producer does need to collect on his/her option position (Outcome B)? Assume the futures market price at the first of October is $170/cwt. In this case, the option to sell does have value because others are willing to purchase the right to sell at $175, when they are currently only able to sell at $170/cwt. Remember, this means the option is “in-the-money.” One way to collect on an options policy (offset) is very much like collecting on insurance. Since the value of the loss is $5/cwt, the cattle producer should be able to sell the option back for at least this amount. The producer advises his/her broker to sell the October put at $5 or better. The sale of a previously bought put cancels the option,
and the broker sends a check for $5 per cwt X 500 cwt or $2,500. Since the producer paid a premium of $2.75/cwt plus the $0.25/cwt option trading cost, he/she netted $2/cwt on the option trade. The producer sells the calves for $160/cwt on the cash market and adds the $2/cwt gained on the option market to get the net price of $162/cwt. Thus, the option is successful in assuring the minimum price when the producer bought the option in June.

In this case, the producer collected on his/her option (policy). Just as with insurance, the producer collects to the extent of the loss. In options terminology, the strike price (face amount of policy) less the current futures price of feeder cattle.

A second way in which the “insurance” could have been recovered would be to exercise the option, converting it into a sell (short) position in the futures market. If the futures position was then immediately closed out with a purchased October futures (long), the $5/cwt difference would be realized ($175 - $170 current futures) with only an additional commission for the futures purchase. Since fed cattle options expire before the underlying futures, this may be the route to completion of the options “insurance” if the cattle were not sold until after the option had expired. With feeder cattle, however, this is not a problem because the futures and options expire together.

Figure 2 summarizes the net price received for three price-risk management strategies for the sale of feeder cattle. One strategy demonstrates the results of taking the cash price, while a second strategy is a straight futures hedge at $175/cwt. The third strategy shows the resulting net price from purchasing an October put with a $175 strike price for $2.75/cwt with $0.25/cwt

---

**Figure 2. Realized Price for Feeder Cattle Using the Cash Market, a Straight Hedge, or a Put Option with a $175/cwt Strike Price (Basis Not Considered).**
trading cost under several futures market prices in October. It also makes clear why put option purchases are sometimes referred to as “floor pricing.” This is evidenced by the price never falling below $172/cwt for the put option strategy.

Figure 2 does not account for basis. The producer will be able to determine what the basis will be when the cattle are sold. If the actual basis is better (stronger) than anticipated, then the realized net price from the option will be higher. If the actual basis is worse (weaker) than anticipated, then the realized net price from the option will be lower. In either case, the actual net price will vary by the difference in forecast and actual basis.

**Buying More or Less Insurance**

Figure 3 shows the net futures floor prices achieved at various strike prices. Readers are reminded that basis would still need to be subtracted to arrive at an estimated cash price.

The crosshatched area indicates the amount of the premium paid. For instance, a $180 put could have been purchased for a premium of $6.70/cwt, which would have provided a higher floor price but at an unreasonable expense. Alternatively, a $170 put could be purchased for a premium of $2.73/cwt providing a net futures price of $167.27/cwt. Finally, a $166 put would have cost only $1.28/cwt but provided a futures floor of only $164.72/cwt. Again, readers are reminded that these prices are before any basis adjustment. So, if the basis is -$10/cwt, as has been used throughout this publication, then net cash prices will range from $154.72 to $165.92/cwt.

The graphic illustrates the impacts of strike prices and premiums on net futures prices. Selecting the “right” strike price involves knowing not only what level of protection is afforded, but also how much the protection costs.

**Figure 3. Net Futures Prices for Put Option at Various Strike Levels. November Feeder Cattle Contract. Prices Quoted in June.**
**Call Option Example**

As mentioned previously, call options can be used to establish an expected maximum purchase price. Call options may be useful for stocker operators or feedlots to set a maximum purchase price of incoming cattle. Likewise, livestock producers can use corn or soybean meal options to set a maximum purchase price for feed ingredients. Similar to a put option establishing a price floor, call options establish a price ceiling.

Call options give the holder the right, but not the obligation, to BUY a futures contract at a given price. The same terms (strike price, premium, etc.) apply for call options as they do with put options except the objective is to set a maximum purchase price for feeder cattle, live cattle or feed ingredients, as opposed to a minimum price. As a result, premiums and other transaction costs are added to the strike price in calculating the net price paid, where with put options they were subtracted. In either instance, the result is the same. The holder experiences a small but known loss in exchange for mitigating the risk of upward price movements in the market.

To illustrate a call option, consider a cattle producer purchasing corn as a feed input (Figure 4) that wants to set a maximum purchase price of $3.97 per bushel (bu).

In Outcome A, prices increased enough to make the call option “in-the-money.” As result, the owner offset the option for the intrinsic value and reduced the net purchase price to $3.97/bu.

If the futures market declined to $3.60/bu, as shown in Outcome B, the cattle producer would have purchased the corn for $3.70/bu ($3.60 + $0.10 basis) and let the call expire. Because the total purchase price (premium + commission + interest) was $0.17/bu, the net purchase price would have been $3.87/bu.

---

**Figure 4. Potential Outcomes from Purchasing Corn Call Option.**
Summary

Purchasing options for price insurance is a way cattlemen can use the futures markets as a pricing alternative. This alternative should be carefully compared to all other pricing alternatives in light of the producer’s objectives and risk-bearing ability. Options purchased for price insurance provide a “hybrid” market with characteristics of both doing nothing (cash market pricing) and hedging or forward contracting. That is, the producer who purchases an option for price insurance has some of the same price protection offered through a hedge or forward contract. On the other hand, options are not as protective against unfavorable price movements such as hedging or forward contracting or as attractive as the open cash market, if prices become more favorable. In fact, option purchases will always be, at best, second to either of the other two pricing alternatives when evaluated after the fact. However, cattlemen do not have the luxury of making pricing decisions after the fact. Because of this, many cattlemen may find a place in their pricing plans for the kind of “hybrid vigor” offered through the option market.
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